

Remarks/Arguments

This RCE-creating Amendment has been prepared in response to the Advisory Action dated April 16, 2008, which issued subsequent to the Final Office Action dated February 1, 2008 regarding the above-identified U.S. Patent application.

In the Action, the Examiner took the position that Applicant's Amendment after Final, dated March 25, 2008, did not place the application in a condition for allowance, further noting that the claims did not specifically refer positively to the preservation of options for post-rendering analysis and processing. That notation made by the Examiner has been taken by applicant as an indication that claims containing more positive reference to this aspect of the invention would place such claims in conditions for allowance.

Accordingly, claims 1 and 4 herein have been currently amended to accomplish this task. More specifically, claim 1 now includes an additional step which points out that the segmented encryption which is performed by applicant's invention preserves options for post-rendering analysis/processing regarding data contained in the non-content commands and in the non-content field portions of the content commands, present in the data of a document print job.

Claim 4, which is directed to a document print job *per se*, has been similarly modified.

Accordingly, and with entry of the present Amendment, both claims now presented in this Amendment on the basis of entry of this Amendment are considered to be in conditions for allowance inasmuch as they follow the suggestion made by the

Page 4 PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT IN SUPPORT OF RCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §
1.114, Request for Extension of time in Which to Respond under 37 C.F.R. §
1.136 for Serial No. 10/674,329; Attorney Docket No. J-ST.A.1301

Examiner in the Advisory Action, and clearly are directed to subject matter which is neither disclosed nor suggested in any of the prior art presented by the Examiner during the examination of this case. Therefore, favorable reconsideration of this application, and allowance of both claims contained therein now, are respectfully solicited.

Request for Extension of time in Which to Respond

Applicants hereby request an extension of time to respond in the second month following the end of the shortened statutory period under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136. A PTO form 2038 Credit Card authorization in the amount of \$930.00 was previously submitted in a communication sent to the Office on May 29, 2008 to pay the requisite RCE filing fee and the time extension in the first month; a PTO form 2038 credit card authorization in the amount of \$340.00 is enclosed herewith to pay the difference for a time extension in the second month. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any over-payment to Account No. 502592.


Customer Number

55428

Respectfully Submitted,

JON M. DICKINSON, P.C.

Registration No: 22820
Telephone: 503-504-2271
Facsimile: 503-232-3127


Jon M. Dickinson
8015 SE 31st Avenue
Portland, Oregon 97202

Page 5 PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT IN SUPPORT OF RCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §
1.114, Request for Extension of time in Which to Respond under 37 C.F.R. §
1.136 for Serial No. 10/674,329; Attorney Docket No. J-SLA.1301

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JUN 18 2008

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that the attached PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT IN SUPPORT OF RCE under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114, Request for time in which to respond and PTO-2038 credit card authorization in the amount of \$340.00 is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Postal Service (Fax No:(571) 273-8300 on June 18, 2008.


Anna White

Page 6 PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT IN SUPPORT OF RCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §
1.114, Request for Extension of time in Which to Respond under 37 C.F.R §
1.136 for Serial No. 10/674,329; Attorney Docket No. J-SLA.1301